

WEST MICHIGAN SHORELINE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP) PLAN

**316 Morris Avenue
Suite 340
Muskegon, MI 49440
Phone: 231-722-7878 ext. 180
Website: www.wmsrdc.org**

May 24, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	3
Elements of an Effective LEP Policy.....	4
Methodology for Assessing Needs and Reasonable Steps for an Effective LEP Policy	4
The Four-Factor Analysis.....	5
Factor 1: The Proportion, Numbers and Distributions of LEP Persons.....	5
Factor 2: Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals.....	11
Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Program, Activity, or Service to LEP Community.....	12
Factor 4: The Resources Available to the WMSRDC and Overall Cost	12
Safe Harbor Stipulation.....	13
Providing Notice to LEP Persons.....	13
Options and Proposed Actions.....	14
What the WMSRDC Will Do.....	14
WMSRDC Staff Training.....	15
LEP Plan Access	15

Introduction

On August 11, 2000, President William J. Clinton signed an executive order, Executive Order 13166: Improving Access to Service for Persons with Limited English Proficiencyⁱ, to clarify Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It had as its purpose, to ensure accessibility to programs and services to otherwise eligible persons who are not proficient in the English language.

This executive order stated that individuals who do not speak English well and who have a limited ability to read, write and speak, or understand English are entitled to language assistance under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 with respect to a particular type of service, benefit, or encounterⁱⁱ. These individuals are referred to as being limited in their ability to speak, read, write, or understand English, hence the designation, “LEP,” or Limited English Proficient. The Executive Order states that:

“Each federal agency shall prepare a plan to improve access to its federally conducted programs and activities by eligible LEP persons. Each plan shall be consistent with the standards set forth in the LEP Guidance, and shall include the steps the agency will take to ensure that eligible LEP persons can meaningfully access the agency’s programs and activities.”

Not only do all federal agencies have to develop LEP plans as a condition of receiving federal financial assistance, recipients have to comply with Title VI and LEP guidelines of the federal agency from which funds are provided as well.

Federal financial assistance includes grants, training, use of equipment, donations of surplus property, and other assistance. Recipients of federal funds range from state and local agencies, to nonprofits and organizations. Title VI covers a recipient’s entire program or activity. This means all parts of a recipient’s operations are covered, even if only one part of a recipient’s organization receives the federal assistance. Simply put, any organization that receives federal financial assistance is required to follow this Executive Order.

The West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission receives funds from the US Department of Transportation via the Federal Highway Administration.

The US Department of Transportation published *Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ responsibilities to Limited English Proficient Person* in the December 14th, 2005 Federal Register.ⁱⁱⁱ

The Guidance implies that the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission is an organization that must follow this guidance:

This guidance applies to all DOT funding recipients, which include state departments of transportation, state motor vehicle administrations, airport operators, metropolitan planning organizations, and regional, state, and local transit operators, among many others. Coverage extends to a recipient’s entire program or activity, i.e., to all parts of a recipient’s operations. This is true even if only one part of the recipient receives the Federal assistance. For example, if DOT provides assistance to a state department of transportation to rehabilitate a particular

highway on the National Highway System, all of the operations of the entire state department of transportation—not just the particular highway program or project—are covered by the DOT guidance.

Elements of an Effective LEP Policy

The US Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division has developed a set of elements that may be helpful in designing an LEP policy or plan. These elements include:

1. Identifying LEP persons who need language assistance
2. Identifying ways in which language assistance will be provided
3. Training Staff
4. Providing notice to LEP persons
5. The recommended method of evaluating accessibility to available transportation services is the Four-Factor Analysis identified by the USDOT.

These recommended plan elements have been incorporated into this plan.

Methodology for Assessing Needs and Reasonable Steps for an Effective LEP Policy

The DOT guidance outlines four factors recipients should apply to the various kinds of contacts they have with the public to assess language needs and decide what reasonable steps they should take to ensure meaningful access for LEP persons:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity, or service of the recipient or grantee.
2. The frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program.
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient to the LEP Community.
4. The resources available to the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission and overall cost.

The greater the number or proportion of eligible LEP persons, the greater the frequency with which they have contact with a program, activity, or service and the greater the importance of that program, activity, or service, the more likely enhanced language services will be needed. The intent of DOT's guidance is to suggest a balance that ensures meaningful access by LEP persons to critical services while not imposing undue burdens on small organizations and local governments.

Smaller recipients with more limited budgets are typically not expected to provide the same level of language service as larger recipients with larger budgets.

The DOT guidance is modeled after the Department of Justice's guidance and requires recipients and sub-recipients to take steps to ensure meaningful access to their programs and activities to

LEP persons. More information for recipients and sub-recipients can be found at <http://www.lep.gov>.

The Four-Factor Analysis

This plan uses the recommended four-factor analysis of an individualized assessment considering the four factors outlined above. Each of the following factors is examined to determine the level and extent of language assistance measures required to sufficiently ensure meaningful access to West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission services and activities that may affect their quality of life. Recommendations are then based on the results of the analysis.

Factor 1: The Proportion, Numbers and Distribution of LEP Persons

The Census Bureau has a range for four classifications of how well people speak English. The classifications are: ‘very well,’ ‘well,’ ‘not well,’ and ‘not at all.’ For our planning purposes, we are considering people that speak English less than ‘very well’ as Limited English Proficient persons.

As seen in Table #1, the Census 2012 Data for the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission shows a small number of the population that speak English less than ‘very well.’

TABLE #1
Clare County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	29,150	
English only	28,086	96.3%
Language other than English	1,064	3.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	321	1.1%
Spanish	301	1.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	69	0.2%
Other Indo-European languages	561	1.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	130	0.4%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	120	0.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	79	0.3%
Other languages	82	0.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	43	0.1%

Ionia County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	60,061	
English only	57,870	96.4%
Language other than English	2,191	3.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	631	1.1%
Spanish	1,449	2.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	424	0.7%
Other Indo-European languages	490	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	67	0.1%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	120	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	59	0.1%
Other languages	132	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	81	0.1%

Isabella County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	66,574	
English only	63,430	95.3%
Language other than English	3,144	4.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,094	1.6%
Spanish	833	1.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	246	0.4%
Other Indo-European languages	993	1.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	145	0.2%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	935	1.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	581	0.9%
Other languages	383	0.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	122	0.2%

Kent County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	561,097	
English only	496,438	88.5%
Language other than English	64,659	11.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	27,976	5.0%
Spanish	39,495	7.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	18,493	3.3%
Other Indo-European languages	13,201	2.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	3,522	0.6%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	8,673	1.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	4,630	0.8%
Other languages	3,290	0.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,331	0.2%

Lake County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	11,049	
English only	10,809	97.8%
Language other than English	240	2.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	79	0.7%
Spanish	138	1.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	56	0.5%
Other Indo-European languages	95	0.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	23	0.2%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	3	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	4	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%

Mason County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	27,089	
English only	25,752	95.1%
Language other than English	1,337	4.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	269	1.0%
Spanish	754	2.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	168	0.6%
Other Indo-European languages	510	1.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	80	0.3%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	37	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	7	0.0%
Other languages	36	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	14	0.1%

Mecosta County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	40,742	
English only	39,010	95.7%
Language other than English	1,732	4.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	449	1.1%
Spanish	466	1.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	125	0.3%
Other Indo-European languages	736	1.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	182	0.4%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	206	0.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	78	0.2%
Other languages	324	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	64	0.2%

Montcalm County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	59,506	
English only	57,425	96.5%
Language other than English	2,081	3.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	748	1.3%
Spanish	914	1.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	345	0.6%
Other Indo-European languages	861	1.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	290	0.5%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	212	0.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	95	0.2%
Other languages	94	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	18	0.0%

Muskegon County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	160,458	
English only	153,674	95.8%
Language other than English	6,784	4.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,164	1.3%
Spanish	3,819	2.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,373	0.9%
Other Indo-European languages	2,018	1.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	477	0.3%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	518	0.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	234	0.1%
Other languages	429	0.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	80	0.0%

Newaygo County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	45,485	
English only	42,976	94.5%
Language other than English	2,509	5.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,121	2.5%
Spanish	1,744	3.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	792	1.7%
Other Indo-European languages	683	1.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	303	0.7%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	55	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	24	0.1%
Other languages	27	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	2	0.0%

Oceana County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	24,882	
English only	21,950	88.2%
Language other than English	2,932	11.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,376	5.5%
Spanish	2,606	10.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,248	5.0%
Other Indo-European languages	241	1.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	60	0.2%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	6	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	6	0.0%
Other languages	79	0.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	62	0.2%

Osceola County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	22,051	
English only	21,115	95.8%
Language other than English	936	4.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	259	1.2%
Spanish	198	0.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	41	0.2%
Other Indo-European languages	671	3.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	193	0.9%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	26	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	8	0.0%
Other languages	41	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	17	0.1%

Ottawa County:

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	# of Individuals	Percentage
Population 5 years and over	247,085	
English only	223,400	90.4%
Language other than English	23,685	9.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	8,829	3.6%
Spanish	15,554	6.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	6,012	2.4%
Other Indo-European languages	3,514	1.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	760	0.3%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	4,416	1.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,027	0.8%
Other languages	201	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	30	0.0%

Factor 2: Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals

The MPO has conducted an informal survey of our employees with regard to whether they have had encounters with LEP individuals in the performance of their job functions and found that they have not had any encounters with LEP individuals. We have offices accessible to the public

and therefore accessible to LEP individuals. We also have staff that work in the field that could encounter LEP individuals. Additionally, regular public meetings are held monthly, which would potentially bring LEP individuals to these meetings. Given the number of LEP individuals, as displayed in Table #1 (above), the probability of our employees to encounter an LEP individual is low.

Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Program, Activity, or Service to LEP

The West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission serves individuals throughout the MPO primarily by planning. Given the number of LEP individuals in the MPO, we will ensure accessibility to all of our programs, services, and activities.

Factor 4: The Resources Available to the WMSRDC and Overall Cost

US Department of Transportation Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons published in the Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239) states:

"Certain DOT recipients, such as those serving very few LEP persons or those with very limited resources, may choose not to develop a written LEP plan."

The West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission serves very few LEP persons and has very limited resources. However, it has decided to include a LEP section in its Title VI Plan in order to comply with the Executive Order and to ensure access and reasonable accommodations for LEP persons who may be unknown at this time.

Safe Harbor Stipulation

Federal law provides a "Safe Harbor" situation so that recipients can ensure with greater certainty that they comply with their obligation to provide written translations in languages other than English. A "Safe Harbor" means that if a recipient provides written translation in certain circumstances, such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient's written-translation obligations under Title VI.

The failure to provide written translations under the circumstances does not mean there is non-compliance, but rather provides a guide for recipients that would like greater certainty of compliance than can be provided by a fact-intensive, four factor analysis. For example, even if a Safe Harbor is not used, if written translation of a certain document(s) would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of its program, it is not necessary. Other ways of providing meaningful access, such as effective oral interpretation of certain vital documents, might be acceptable under such circumstances.

Strong evidence of compliance with the recipient's written translation obligations under "Safe Harbor" includes providing written translations of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes 5% or 1,000, whichever is less, of the population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered. Translation of other documents, if needed, can be provided orally.

This "Safe Harbor" provision applies to the translation of written documents only. It does not affect the requirement to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals through competent oral interpreters where oral language services are needed and are reasonable.

Given the small number of LEP language group members, the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission's budget and number of staff, it is deemed that written translations of vital documents would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of our programs. It is more appropriate for the WMSRDC to proceed with oral interpretation options for compliance with LEP regulations.

Providing Notice to LEP Persons

USDOT LEP guidance says:

Once an agency has decided, based on the four factors, that it will provide language service, it is important that the recipient notify LEP persons of services available free of charge. Recipients should provide this notice in languages LEP persons would understand.

The guidance provides several examples of notification including:

1. Signage, in languages that an LEP individual would understand that free language assistance is available with advance notice.
2. Stating in outreach documents that free language services are available from the agency.
3. Working with community-based organizations and other stakeholders to inform LEP individuals of the recipient's services, including the availability of language assistance services.

Statements in languages that an LEP individual would understand will be placed in public information and public notices informing LEP individuals that those requiring language assistance and/or special accommodations will be provided the requested service free of charge, with reasonable advance notice to the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission.

Options and Proposed Actions

Options:

Federal fund recipients have two (2) main ways to provide language services: oral interpretation either in person or via telephone interpretation service and written translation. The correct mix should be based on what is both necessary and reasonable in light of the four-factor analysis.^{iv}

The West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission is defining an interpreter as a person who translates spoken language orally, as opposed to a translator, who translates written language or who transfers the meaning of written text from one language into another. The person who translates orally is not a translator, but an interpreter.^v

Considering the relatively small size of the MPO, the small number of LEP individuals in the service area, and limited financial resources, it is necessary to limit language aid to the most basic and cost-effective services. However, when requested appropriate assistance will be provided.

What the WMSRDC will do. What actions will the WMSRDC take?

- Notify the public that interpreter services are available upon request, with seven day advance notice.
- With advance notice of seven calendar days, the MPO will provide interpreter services at public meetings, including language translation and signage for the hearing impaired.
- The MPO will utilize the *Translators Resource List* as provided by MDOT for translation services and verbal interpretation.
- The Census Bureau “I-speak” Language Identification Card will be distributed to all employees that may potentially encounter LEP individuals.
- Once the LEP individual’s language has been identified, an agency from the *Translators Resource List* will be contacted to provide interpretation services.
- Publications of the MPO’s complaint form will be made available online and upon request.
- In the event that a MPO employee encounters a LEP individual, they will follow the procedure listed below:

OFFICE ENCOUNTER

1. Provide an I-speak language identification card to determine the language spoken by the LEP individual.

2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI Coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT's *Translators Resource List*.
3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI Coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

ROAD ENCOUNTER

1. Road crew employee will immediately contact the Title VI Coordinator for assistance, and provide an I-speak language identification card to the LEP individual to determine the language spoken by the individual.
2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI Coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT's *Translators Resource List* to provide telephonic interpretation.
3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI Coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

IN WRITING

1. Once a letter has been received it will be immediately forwarded to the Title VI Coordinator.
2. The Title VI Coordinator will contact a translator from the MDOT's *Translators Resource List* to determine the specifics of the letter request information.
3. The Title VI Coordinator will work with the selected agency to provide the requested service to the individual in a timely manner.

OVER THE PHONE

1. If someone calls into our office speaking another language, every attempt will be made to keep that individual on the line until an interpreter can be conferenced into the line, and if possible, determine the language spoken by the caller.
2. Once the language spoken by the caller has been identified, we will proceed with providing the requested assistance to the LEP individual.

The West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission's Staff Training

The WMSRDC's staff will be provided training on the requirements for providing meaningful access to services for LEP persons.

LEP Plan Access

A copy of the LEP plan document can be requested at the West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission's main office during normal business hours and the WMSRDC will

make the plan available on the website at www.wmsrdc.org. Any person or agency may also request a copy by contacting:

Erin Kuhn, Executive Director
316 Morris Avenue, Suite 340
Muskegon, MI 49440
Phone: 231-722-7878 ext. 180
Email: ekuhn@wmsrdc.org

ⁱ The executive order verbatim can be found online at <http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm>.

ⁱⁱ Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

ⁱⁱⁱ The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

^{iv} <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep/asp>

^v Department of Justice Final LEP Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol. 67-Number 117.