# WEST MICHIGAN METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM (WESTPLAN) POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

#### **MEETING MINUTES**

February 19, 2025

### I. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Chairperson Kim Arter called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m. A quorum was present.

#### II. ATTENDANCE

#### A. Members Present

Kim Arter, Laketon Township, Chairperson Richard Carlson, Mayor of Ferrysburg

Michelle Hazekamp, Muskegon County Board of Commissioners

Bob Hires, City of Montague

Jack Kennedy, Muskegon County Road Commission

Field Reichardt, Ottawa County Road Commission

Bill Mogren, City of North Muskegon

Rachel Gorman, City of Muskegon

Roger Morgenstern, City of Norton Shores

Steven Salter, City of Whitehall

Roger Vanderstelt, Village of Fruitport

Diane Goodman, City of Roosevelt Park

Josh Brugger, Ottawa County

Chris McGuigan, Muskegon County (MATS)

Ron Jenkins, City of Muskegon Heights

Tyler Kent, MDOT, Alternate

Michael Sutton, City of Roosevelt Park, Alternate

#### B. Members Not Present

Robert Monetza, City of Grand Haven Ryan Kelly, Crockery Township Wally Delameter, Village of Spring Lake Kevin Mclaughlin, Harbor Transit Jenny Staroska, FHWA, Excused

#### C. Others Present

Marc Frederickson, MDOT Blake Wright, MDOT Alexis Springer, MCC

#### D. Staff Present

Lauryn Blake, WMSRDC Brian Mulnix, WMSRDC Joel Fitzpatrick, WMSRDC Jack Grice, WMSRDC

#### III. APPROVAL OF THE PREVIOUS MINUTES

The minutes were reviewed from the December 18, 2024, meeting. A motion was made and supported to approve the minutes of the December 18, 2024, meeting. Motion approved. *M/S Kennedy/Mogren* 

#### IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

The WestPlan Public Participation Plan procedure was followed to achieve public participation for this meeting. No members of the public spoke.

#### V. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

- A. FY2023-2026 TIP AMENDMENT 23 Mr. Brian Mulnix presented the TIP #23

  Amendment to the committee and informed them that the Technical Committee approved and recommended it to the Policy Committee for approval. He informed the group that most of the line items are Harbor Transit and MDOT jobs, with one of them being a MATS project. The reason for needing an amendment is for a GPA cost increase of over 25% as well as two phase additions. A motion was made and supported to approve the FY2023-2026 TIP Amendment 23 with exclusion to the final trunkline project lines. Motion carried. *M/S Salter/Carlson*
- B. FY2026-2029 TIP PROJECT LIST Mr. Brian Mulnix announced that due to new E.O.'s, an amendment that was approved in the last meeting was put on hold until further review. It is recommended that projects should be submitted sooner rather than later. Mr. Tyler Kent elaborated on the purpose of further review and assured that the TIP won't be affected financially as of now. Mr. Joel Fitzpatrick informed the committee that the new TIP document is being worked on internally and will be completed in May and will need approval by June.

## VI. 2025 MID PERIOD PERFORMANCE MEASURE ADJUSTMENTS FOR PAVEMENT AND BRIDGE

Mr. Brian Mulnix informed the committee that the Performance Measures are approved every four years, but data is now collected and updated every 2 years for accuracy. Mr. Tyler Kent stated that since road conditions have improved, the "target" increases. The roads measured under this data are under the National Highway System and represent where we're at and where we're heading in terms of safety. A motion was made and supported to support the 2025 State Safety Performance Measures as presented. Motion carried. *M/S Kennedy/Salter* 

## VII. 2050 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN (MTP)

Mr. Joel Fitzpatrick stated that the new Harbor Transit facility job <u>may</u> require an amendment to the 2050 MTP, but there are no other updates.

#### VIII. NEW BUSINESS/MPO ROUNDTABLE

Ms. Lauryn Blake presented updates for the Clean Air Action program, stating that most

advertising contracts have been received, but no signatures have been sent back at this time. She continued to state which events the WMCAC will be attended as of right now and connected with Mr. Steven Salter regarding some of these events in Whitehall. She informed the committee to keep an eye out for the new CAA PSA that was filmed in July 2024.

Mr. Joel Fitzpatrick emphasized the importance of attendance for the June Policy Committee meeting following the mention of an amendment being needed for the UWP due to a potential MATS study. Mr. Fitzpatrick also informed the committee that the pre-UWP meeting will take place in March.

A. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION — Both MATS and Harbor Transit members provided data sheets for their update but were not able to present. Information was distributed.

#### B. MDOT UPDATES

Mr. Marc Frederickson discussed the upcoming 2026 bridge project for US-31 over I-96 with the committee and informed the committee that a plan should be completed in about 6 months. He also announced a meeting with opportunity for public comment for the M-46 project between US-31 and First Street will be held on February 24<sup>th</sup>.

#### IX. PUBLIC COMMENT

The WestPlan Public Participation Plan procedure was followed to achieve public participation for this meeting. No members of the public spoke.

X. ADJOURNMENT – The meeting adjourned at 2:29 p.m.

## WestPlan FY2023-2026 TIP AMENDMENT 23

The WestPlan MPO TIP Amendment 23 was reviewed and supported by the WestPlan MPO Technical Committee at their February 6, 2025 meeting.

Fiscal Year	Job#	Responsible Agency	Project Name	Project Description	Fund Source	Fed Estimated Amount	State Estimated Amount	Local Estimated Amount	Total Federal Estimated Amendment Amount Type
2026		222976 Muskegon Cou	n Transit Capital	CMAQ - Bus Purchase	СМ	\$420,874	\$105,218	\$0	526,092.00 Phase Added
2026		223042 Harbor Transit	N Transit Capital	Marketing	CM	\$25,000	\$6,250	\$0	31,250.00 Phase Added
2025		214096 Harbor Transit	N Transit Capital	FY24 CMAQ 5307 Flex Construct new transit facility	CM	\$815,568	\$203,892	\$0	1,019,460.00 GPA over or over 25%
2025		223599 Harbor Transit	N Transit Capital	(3) Chargers for EV Vans	5339	\$68,000	\$17,000	\$0	85,000.00 GPA over or over 25%
2025		223601 Harbor Transit	N Transit Capital	Facility Construction	5339	\$16,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$0	20,000,000.00 GPA over or over 25%
2025		223602 Harbor Transit	M Transit Capital	2 Electric Vans	5339	\$184,000	\$46,000	\$0	230,000.00 GPA over or over 25%
2025		223352 MDOT	US-31 S	Traffic signal modernization to current standards	STG	\$183,662	\$0	\$0	183,662.00 GPA over or over 25%
2025		223352 MDOT	US-31 S	Traffic signal modernization to current standards	STG	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	20,000.00 GPA over or over 25%
2026		223352 MDOT	US-31 S	Traffic signal modernization to current standards	STG	\$946 633	\$0	\$0	946 633 00 GPA over or over 25%

## Mid Period Performance Measure Adjustment for Pavement and Bridge



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

BRADLEY C. WEFERICH, P.E. DIRECTOR

## STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION LANSING

October 18, 2024

Dear Metropolitan Planning Organization Director:

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is pleased to provide the enclosed 2022-2025 Performance Tracking document which has the midperformance period summary of state and unified national performance program 2-year actual performance and 4-year target adjustments for the 2022-2025 performance period. MDOT appreciates the contributions Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) have made to actively participate in the 2024 coordination sessions.

Per 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §490.105(d)(1), states and MPOs shall establish statewide and metropolitan planning area wide targets, respectively, that represent the condition/performance of the transportation network or geographic area that are applicable to the measures in accordance with respective subparts of 23 CFR §490, as documented below:

Subpart B, Highway Safety Improvement Program (regulated annually)

Subpart C, NHS Pavement Condition

Subpart D, NHS Bridge Condition

Subpart E, NHS System Performance

Subpart F, Interstate Freight Movement

Subpart G, Traffic Congestion (applicable MPOs only)

Subpart H, On-Road Mobile Source Emissions Reduction (applicable MPOs only)

In accordance with federal law (23 United States Code 134 (h)(2)) and 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §490.105(f)(7), if the State DOT adjusts a 4-year target in the Mid-Performance Period Progress Report, and if for that respective target the MPO established a target by supporting the State DOT target during the baseline year, then the MPO shall within 180 days report to the State DOT whether it will either: (i) Agree to plan a program of projects so that they contribute to the adjusted State DOT target for that performance measure; or (ii) Commit to a new quantifiable target for that performance measure for its metropolitan planning area.

This decision to support the State DOT target, or develop a quantifiable target for the metropolitan area, must be made for each measure and can be different for each measure. If the MPO elects to develop a quantifiable 4-year target, which is considered a 4-year target adjustment when doing so at the mid-performance period, it must do so in coordination with MDOT. The MPO due date for the 2022-2025 4-year target adjustment is March 30, 2025.

MURRAY D. VAN WAGONER BUILDING • P.O. BOX 30050 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.Michigan.gov/MDOT • 517-241-2400

LH-LAN-0 (05/2023)

Metropolitan Planning Organization Director Page 2 October 18, 2024

In addition to the state targets shown in the enclosed table, MDOT will provide supplementary information to assist MPOs in making decisions on MPO target adjustments. The supplementary information will include a description of the measures, recent performance and trends, and the methodology used to establish a 4-year target adjustment, where applicable.

Separately, applicable state DOTs and MPOs have established coordinated urbanized area targets that represents the performance of the transportation network in each applicable area for the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Traffic Congestion program as regulated by Subpart G of 23 CFR §490. Michigan DOT, Ohio DOT, and Indiana DOT have coordinated with the respective MPOs and determined no 4-year target adjustments would be made for the current performance period.

Thank you for your MPO's participation in the national performance management measures program coordination process. Questions regarding the national performance program requirements including coordination for developing quantifiable metropolitan planning area targets should be directed to Kelly Travelbee, Departmental Specialist, Bureau of Development, at (517) 898-4875 or TravelbeeK@michigan.gov. For questions regarding statewide planning processes, please contact either me, or John Lanum, Supervisor, Statewide Planning Section, at 517-243-3554 or LanumJ@michigan.gov.

#### Sincerely,

E-SIGNED by TODD WHITE on 2024-10-18 07:02:52 EDT Todd White, Director Bureau of Transportation Planning

#### Enclosure

cc: J. Lanum, MDOT K. Travelbee, MDOT D. Mayle, MDOT 2022 - 2025 Performance Period Updated: September 24, 2024

Performance Measure		2-Year PREDICTED	2-Year ACTUAL	2-Year Performance Better than	2-Year Performance Better than	2-Year Significant Progress Achieved	4-Year ORIGINAL Predicted	4-Year  ADJUSTED (2)  Predicted
Performance Measure	Baseline (3)	Performance	Performance	Baseline	Target	(1)(3)	Performance	Performance
NHPP: NHS Pavement Condition (§490, Subpart C) Measure: IRI, Cracking, and Rutting (asphalt) or Faulting (joined concrete)								
Percentage of Pavements of the Interstate in Good Condition (PCM)	Null MIU	59.2%	71.4%	Yes	Yes	No	56.7%	67.1%
Percentage of Pavements of the Interstate (NHS) in Poor Condition (PCM)	(Actual 70.4%) Null MIU	5.0%	1.6%	Yes	Yes	MIU 9.8% No	5.0%	
•	(Actual 1.8%)					MIU 9.8%		20.40/
Percentage of Pavements of the Non-Interstate NHS in Good Condition (PCM)	41.6%	33.1%	38.7%	No	Yes	Yes	33.1%	29.4%
Percentage of Pavements of the Non-Interstate NHS in Poor Condition (PCM)	8.9%	10.0%	8.1%	Yes	Yes	Yes	10.0%	
NHPP: NHS Bridge Condition (§490, Subpart D)								
Measure: Percent square foot condition to total deck square foot, by deck area  Percentage of NHS Bridges in Good Condition	22.1%	15.2%	24.0%	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.8%	
	7.000	6.007		311000	77 3000	313241	VAVVIIIV	10.00/
Percentage of NHS Bridges in <u>Poor Condition</u>	7.0%	6.8%	7.1%	No	No	No	5.8%	10.0%
NHPP: NHS System Reliability (§490, Subpart E) Measure: 80th percentile over 4 time periods								
Percent of the Reliable Person-Miles Traveled on the Interstate	97.1%	80.0%	93.9%	No	Yes	Yes	80.0%	
Percent of the Reliable Person-Miles Traveled on the Non-Interstate NHS	94.4%	75.0%	93.6%	No	Yes	Yes	75.0%	
NHPP: Greenhouse Gas (§490, Subpart E)								
Measure: NHS tailpipe CO <sub>2</sub> emissions								
Percent change in NHS tailpipe CO <sub>2</sub> emissions compared to reference year 2022	Not applicable a	it this time, refer	ence Note 4.					
NHFP: Interstate (NHS) Freight Reliability (§490, Subpart F)	•							
Measure: 95th percentile over 5 time periods, expressed as an Index  Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index on the Interstate	1.31	1.60	1.43	No	Yes	Yes	1.60	
	1							
CMAQ: Traffic Congestion and Emissions Reduction (§490, Subparts G and H) (Note 1)								
Traffic Congestion <u>Unified</u> Targets: Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive  Delay Per Capita (NPMRDS/HPMS-AADT)								
Ann Arbor Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT and SEMCOG; included WATS for inclusive collaboration)	9.0 hours	16.0 hours	10.4 hours	No	Yes	Yes	16.0 hours	
Detroit Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT and SEMCOG)	9.8 hours	18.0 hours	10.4 hours	No	Yes	Yes	18.0 hours	
Flint Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT; included GCMPC for inclusive	5.7 hours	10.0 hours	5.2 hours	Yes	Yes	Yes	10.0 hours	
collaboration)	0.6 haves	201	0.0 haves	N.	Yes	Yes	20 haves	
South Bend Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT, INDOT, SMPC; included MACOG for inclusive collaboration)	0.6 hours	2.0 hours	0.9 hours	No	res	res	2.0 hours	
Toledo Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT, ODOT, and SEMCOG; included TMACOG for inclusive collaboration)	6.1 hours	7.0 hours	7.1 hours	No	No	No	7.0 hours	
Traffic Congestion <u>Unified</u> Targets: Percent of Non-Single Occupancy								
Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel (U.S. Census ACS Journey to Work method).  Ann Arbor Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT and SEMCOG; included WATS for	31.9%	29.7%	35.1%	Yes	Yes	Yes	29.7%	
inclusive collaboration)			0.11004-000					
Detroit Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT and SEMCOG)	18.7%	15.5%	21.5%	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.5%	
Flint Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT; included GCMPC for inclusive collaboration)	18.5%	15.5%	19.1%	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.5%	
South Bend Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT, INDOT, SMPC; included MACOG	20.6%	18.0%	21.3%	Yes	Yes	Yes	18.0%	
for inclusive collaboration) Toledo Urbanized Area (Unified Target Setting: MDOT, ODOT, and SEMCOG; included	16.1%	15.0%	17.6%	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.0%	
TMACOG for inclusive collaboration)  On Pand Makila Source Smissione Paduction (Computative 2 years and 4 years	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			
On-Road Mobile Source Emissions Reduction ( <u>Cumulative</u> 2-year and 4-year performance and targets), kg/day (Coordination with SEMCOG, MACC, SMPC, and WMSRDC)								
State Total Emission Reduction: PM2.5	1,527.492	595.000	1,064.792	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,191.000	
State Total Emission Reduction: NOx	13,118.817	5,227.000	14,648.581	Yes	Yes	Yes	10,455.000	
State Total Emission Reduction: VOC	5,246.548	2,295.000	5,418.239	Yes	Yes	Yes	4,590.000	
State Total Emission Reduction: CO (NA to MI this performance period)								
State Total Emission Reduction: PM10 (NA to MI this performance period)								
Supplemental Documents to State Biennial Performance Report (Baseline, Mid and								
Final)  1. MM2045 (provide key freight plan pages in narrative)								
LIJJA Compliant Freight Plan Amendment     MPO CMAQ Performance Plan Update (23 CFR §490.107): SEMCOG (applies only to urbar	ized area with a n	onulation over 1	million with part	ainment/mainte	nance area for co	teria pollutant		
overlapping the TMA boundary)	.coa area witii a p	opatation over 11			urea ioi cii	tona pottutant		

#### National Performance Program: NHPP/NFPP/CMAQ

2022 - 2025 Performance Period Updated: September 24, 2024

#### Notations and References:

Note 1 - Significant Progress Determination. NHPP/NFPP Measures; [23 CFR 109]: Significant progress is achieved when (1) actual performance is better than baseline or (2) actual performance is better than the target. FHWA definition of "better than" for NHPP is an improvement of at least 0.1 percent and 0.01 for NHFP. CMAQ Measures: FHWA does not assess significant progress for CMAQ measures, the following is for internal purposes: Traffic Congestion measures - significant progress for 2- and 4-year targets is when (1) actual performance is better than the target, put 1) points. Emissions Reduction measures - significant progress for 2-year targets is cumulative 2-year actual performance is better than the 2-year target, and significant progress for 4-year targets is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the sample or (2) better than the baseline or (2) better than the baseline or (2) better than the cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year targets is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the sample or (2) better than the cumulative 4-year targets is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year target is cumulative 4-year actual performance is better than the cumulative 4-year targ

Note 2 - Target Adjustment. State DOTs may adjust an established 4-year target in the Mid Performance Period Progress Report, as described in § 490.107(b)(2). State DOTs shall coordinate with relevant MPOs when adjusting their 4-year target(s for NHPP and NHFP. Any adjustments made to 4-year target setablished for the CMAQ Traffic Congestion measures in paragraph (c)(7) of this section shall be agreed upon and made collectively by all State DOTs and MPOs that include any portion of the NHS in the respective urbanized area applicable to the measures.

Note 3 - Missing, Invalid or Unresolved (MIU) powement data. MIU threshold is 5.0 percent per regulation. Reference 23 CFR \$490.313 including (b)(4)(ii) Calculation of overall pawement conditions in any State meeting the requirements of \$490.309(a) shall be based only on sections containing data reported in the HPMS submittal as of the submission dates required in \$490.311(c)(4) and (5). State DGTs not meeting the requirements of \$490.309(b) will be considered non-compliant with \$420.105(b) requiring State DGTs to submit data to the HPMS and \$490.107 requiring reporting on performance targets. Failure to report data meeting the requirements of \$490.309(b) by the submission dates for the Interstate System and will be considered as not meeting the minimum requirements for pawement conditions on the Interstate System will be considered as not meeting the minimum requirements for pawement conditions on the Interstate System will be considered as not meeting the minimum requirements for pawement conditions on the Interstate System will be the submission dates for the Interstate System and Payer System (1) and 1) and

Note 4 - Greenhouse Gas. On December 7, 2023 FHWA published a final rule incorporating a greenhouse gas measure into 23 CFR 490. On March 27, 2024, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (5:23-CV-304-H), vacated and remanded the final rule nationwide. On April 1, 2024, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (5:23-CV-304-H), vacated and remanded the final rule but only for the twenty-one states participating in the multi-state lawsuit against the FHWA. On April 10, 2024, the U.S. Senate passed a Congresional Review Act to overturn the final rule. PHWA bas announced its decision to challenge both District Court rulings through the respective Circuit Court of Appeals. As of the updated version of this document, the GHG remains both remanded and vacated awaiting an appeals decision, and still documented in 23 CFR 490 as a reporting requirement.

#### 2024 Mid-Performance Report Important Notes (See 2-year summary for additional details)

Interstate Powement MIU Baseline Impact: In 2022, the baseline year for the performance period, MDOT exceeded the MIU threshold of 5.0 for Interstate pavement data. In accordance with regulation, FHWA made a "Progress Not Determined" determination for the 2018-2021 performance period 4-year target which also serves as the 2022-2025 baseline. In practical terms, this eliminated the option to achieve significant progress through actual performance better than baseline for the entire 2022-2025 performance period.

Interstate Powement MIU on 2-Year Performance Progress: On the basis of actual performance, Michigan achieved significant progress for Interstate in Good and Poor Condition with actual performance being both better than baseline and better than the 2-year targets. That said, the 2023 Interstate IPMS data submittal exceeded the 5.0 MIU threshold. For the Mid-Performance Period, it is anticipated PHWA will again assess Progress Not Determined" and not recognize actual 2-year performance for reporting purposes. With approximately 10 percent of the Interstate under construction, and the data collection process conditions and process being lightly by regulated, it is impossible for Michigan to not exceed the 5.0 MIU threshold. MOOT has taken every possible opportunity to encourage FHWA to reconsider and revise this requirement in 25 CFR 490 to recognize substantial investment to improve the condition of the NHS should be rewarded, not penalized.

Interstate Good Pavement 4-Year Target Adjustment: Analysis predicts 70.1% Interstate pavement in Good' condition at the end of 2025. Adjusting the Good target to 67.1% retains a 3-point cushion while also communicating the improved anticipated 4-year performance compared to the 56.7% Good 4-year target established in 2022. The federal Pavement Condition Measure behaves differently than RSL and PASER and forecasting the PCM continues to improve with each reporting cycle. There are also two active MDOT pavement related research projects including developing an improved federal pavement performance model.

Non-Interstate Good Povement 4-Year Target Adjustment: Analysis predicts 32.4% Non-Interstate NHS pavement in 'Good' condition at the end of 2025. Adjusting the Good target to 29.4% retains a 3-point cushion. As the most recent analysis indicates achieving significant progress with performance better than the baseline or better than the Pave target established in 2023 is not feasible, adjusting the target is necessary. The federal Pavement Condition Measure behaves differently than RSL and PASER and forecasting the PCM continues to improve with each reporting cycle. There are als to two active MDIOT pavement related research projects including developing an improved federal pavement performance model.

WHS Bridge Performance: Analysis predicts 9.5% NHS Bridge 'Poor' condition by deck area at the end of 2025. Adjusting the Poor target to 10.0% provides a 0.5 point cushion. As the most recent analysis indicates achieving significant progress with performance better than the baseline or better than the 4-year target established in 2022 is not feasible, adjusting the target is necessary.

Traffic Congestion - PHED: ODOT and MDOT used RITIS to calculate a 2-year actual performance at 5.2 hours for the Toledo Urbanized Area. FHWA used a complex process to calculate 7.1 hours. FHWA has rejected ODOTs request to utilize the 5.2 hours reported through RITIS, as anticipated. If using RITIS data, significant progress achieved, however the FHWA calculated 7.1 hours falls short of demonstrating significant progress. That said, FHWA does NOT make an official significant progress determination for any of the CMAQ Measures. After discussing this joint target with Ohio DOT, TMACOG and SEMCOG, the parties elected to not adjust the 4-year target. MDOT and ODOT are working with RITIS (Cambridge Analytics) to conduct an analysis of the data used for their reporting tool to identify the difference and make appropriate advisorment. This

Traffic Congestion - Non-SOV: While 2022 data is available through the ACS commute to Work survey data tables, the CMAQ applicability tables issued in October 2021 used as the baseline for the 2022-25 performance period baseline, was based on the 2010 Decennial Census. The 2020 census was not finalized before the 2022 baseline CMAQ applicability tables were required to be published by regulation. As a result, both the 2-year and 4-year actual performance for NonSOV- will report the 2021 DP03 5-Year table data as that is the latest available (and last available) table that reflects the 2010 census urban boundaries.